UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKx UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

-against-

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Case No. 98-CR-500-FB

RASENE MYTON,

Defendant.				

Appearances:

For the United States:
DREW G. ROLLE
Assistant United States Attorney
Eastern District of New York
271 Cadman Plaza East

Brooklyn, New York 11201

For the Defendant:
MICHAEL K. SCHNEIDER
Federal Defenders of New York
One Pierrepont Plaza, 16th Floor
Brooklyn, New York 11201

BLOCK, Senior District Judge:

Rasene Myton is currently serving a sentence of forty years' imprisonment for Hobbs Act robbery conspiracy, attempted Hobbs Act robbery, and completed Hobbs Act robbery, all in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1951. He is also serving consecutive five-, twenty-, and twenty-five-year sentences for using a firearm in connection with those crimes, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).

Myton's convictions and sentence were affirmed on direct appeal, *see United States v. Bernard*, 224 F. App'x 125 (2d Cir. 2007), and he unsuccessfully sought post-conviction relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. However, the Second Circuit granted him permission to file a successive § 2255 motion raising the claim

that his Hobbs Act convictions do not supply valid predicates for his § 924

convictions under Johnson v. United States, 576 U.S. 591 (2015), and United

States v. Davis, 138 S. Ct. 2319 (2019).

Myton's successive § 2255 motion raises the same issues the Court recently

addressed in United States v. Bernard, 2023 WL 184443 (E.D.N.Y. Jan. 13, 2023).

For the reasons stated in that memorandum and order, the Court concludes (1) that

attempted Hobbs Act robbery is not a "crime of violence," (2) that completed

Hobbs Act robbery is a crime of violence, and (3) that the Court should exercise its

discretion to resentence Myton de novo.

Accordingly, Myton's § 924 convictions predicated on attempted Hobbs Act

robbery (i.e., Count Three of the S-4 Indictment and Count Five of the S-6

Indictment) are vacated, along with the sentences accompanying those convictions.

The judgment of conviction and sentence remains unchanged in all other respects.

The Probation Department is directed to prepare an updated Presentence Report,

upon completion of which the matter will be scheduled for a de novo resentencing.

SO ORDERED.

<u>/S/ Frederic Block</u>

FREDERIC BLOCK

Senior United States District Judge

Brooklyn, New York June 1, 2023

2